APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME) Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME) Green Date 25500 REASON: Device includes includes picture imputting means taget calculating means light controlling means etc. Not a clust to display device. No search in clust the display device. Not accepted by Section III. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: OSORIO AU. 2677. Date 12/19/04. ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING TC. NOT ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING TC. NOT ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER DISPLAY CONTROL Section III. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER Display Concurring Date. Date. Gatekeeper Concurrence. Post Classifier Assessment: Date. Gatekeeper Concurrence. Post Classifier Gatekeeper Concurrence. Post Classifier Concurring Panel Member.	PORM PTO-447A (Rev. 1/99)	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	on	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent & Trademark Office
TO: AT LINE 2 Class 40 REASON: Device includes includes picture inputting means taget calculating means light controlling means, etc. Not a clus 40 display device. No search in class 40. Gatekeeper Concurrence Hand carried: Personally accepted by Section II. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: OSDRID AU. Z673 Date 12/19/04 AU. Z673 Date 12/19/04 REASON: DISPLAY CONTROL Section II. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was not resolved. Foward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date. Post Classifier Back Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Everal to Technology Center/Artiful 18 REASON: Panel Member: Concurring Panel Member	APPLICATIO	N TRANSFER REQU	EST FOR APPLICATION	on s.n <i>09/</i>	
TO: AT UTIL Class 40 REASON: Device includes includes pitare imputting means peter. Not a class 40 display device. No search in class 40. Galekeeper Concurrence Hand carried: Personally accepted by Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: OSORID AU. Z677 Date 12/19/04 CREASON: NOT ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSITIER Nonclassification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSITIER This dispute was resolved. Foward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Evapor to Technology Canier/ATUSE Panel Decision: Evapor to Technology Canier/ATUSE Panel Member: Concurring Panel Member	Section I. TRANSFI	ER REQUEST BY (PRINT NA	ME) Green		Date
REASON: Device includes includes picture inputting means taget calculating means rete. Not a clus 40 display device. No search in class 40. Gatekeeper Concurrence Hand carried: Personally accepted by Section III. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: OSOR 10 AU Z672 Date 12/19/01. ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING TC. NOT ACCEPTED Reveal to receiving TC For Classifier Non-Lassification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU REASON: DISPLAY CONTRU Section III. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was not resolved. Foward to TC/AU Classifier Date. Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date. Panel Member Concurring	TO: Art Unit	2623 Class/S	ub 827 FROM	1: A.U. 3628	Class 70
This dispute was resolved. Foward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Pest Classifier Assessment: Accepted by the Concurrence	REASON:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Section III. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: DSDE D A.U. Z-6 F Date /Z/19/Ot	Devic	e includes	includes pris	ture inp	utting means
Section III. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: DSDE D A.U. Z-6 F Date /Z/19/Ot	taget	calculating m	ears light	controlling	, nears, etc.
Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C. NOT ACCEPTED Foward to receiving TC Peat Classifier Nonclassification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU REASON: DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was resolved. Foward to TC/AU Glass/out Post Classifier Date Date This dispute was not resolved, foward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Foward to Technology Center/Art/Unit / Class/Sub-REASON: Panel Member Concurring Panel Member Panel Member Concurring Panel Member	Not a	clus 40 displa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C. NOT ACCEPTED Foward to receiving TC Post Classifier Nonclassification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU REASON: DISPLAY CONTICUL	Gatekeeper Concurre	ence	Hand carr	ied: Personally accepted	l by
ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C. NOT ACCEPTED Foward to receiving TC Post Classifier Nonclassification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU REASON: DISPLAY CONTICUL	Section IIa. DISPO	SITION BY RECEIVING TC	By: OSORIO	A.U	673 Date 12/19/01
REASON: Nonclassification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU		•			· · · · ·
Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was resolved. Foward to TC/AU Glassosub Post Classifier Date. Concurring Date. This dispute was not resolved, foward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date. Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Foward to Technology Center/Around REASON: Panel Member Concurring Panel Member	NOT A	TEACHAN'S		2000	
Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was resolved. Foward to TC/AU Class/Sub Fost Classifier Date Concurring Date. This dispute was not resolved, foward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Foward to Technology Center/Art/Unit REASON: Concurring Panel Member Concurring Panel Member	REASON:	□ Noi	nciassification issue/other, return	wongmanig 1070	
Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was resolved. Foward to TC/AU Class/Sub Fost Classifier Date Concurring Date. This dispute was not resolved, foward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Foward to Technology Center/Art/Unit REASON: Concurring Panel Member Concurring Panel Member	D1	SPLAY CONTA	202		*
This dispute was resolved. Foward to TC/AU Class/Sub Post Classifer Date Concurring Date. This dispute was not resolved, foward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date		,, ,, ,			
This dispute was resolved. Foward to TC/AU Class/Sub Post Classifer Date Concurring Date. This dispute was not resolved, foward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date		· -		•	
This dispute was resolved. Foward to TC/AU Class/Sub Post Classifer Date Concurring Date. This dispute was not resolved, foward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date	*		111		:
Concurring	Section IIb. DISPO	DSITION BY RECEIVING TO	POST CLASSIFIER		
Concurring	☐ This dispute wa	s resolved. Foward to TC/AU	Class/Sub	Post Clas	siferDate
Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Foward to Technology Center/Arti-Unit REASON: Concurring Panel Member Concurring Panel Member		Carlotte State Control Control		Concurring	Date
Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Foward to Technology Center/Arti-Unit REASON: Concurring Panel Member Concurring Panel Member	☐ This dispute wa	is not resolved, foward to DISPU	JTE RESOLUTION PANEL		
Section III, DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Foward to Technology Center/Art Unit Class/Sub REASON: Panel Member — Concurring Panel Member —	'		•		
Section III, DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Foward to Technology Center/Art Unit Class/Sub REASON: Panel Member — Concurring Panel Member —	· · .	•		·	
Section III, DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Foward to Technology Center/Art Unit Class/Sub REASON: Panel Member — Concurring Panel Member —	·		•		
Section III, DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Foward to Technology Center/Art Unit Class/Sub REASON: Panel Member — Concurring Panel Member —					P. J. 1.3
Panel Member— Concurring Panel Member Concurring Panel Member	Gatekeeper Concur	rence	Post Clas	ssifier	Date
REASON: Panel Member———————————————————————————————————	Section III. DISPO	OSITION BY DISPUTE RESC	DLUTION PANEL		Date
Panel Member Concurring Panel Member	Panel Decision: Fo	ward to Technology Center/Art	Unit	Class/Sub	
	REASON:	7 7			
	·				
	· ·	•		•	
			Coin a Da	nal Mamhar	
	ranel Member—— ☐ This application	MAY NOT be returned to the d			ION.